CASE NO. 4:18-CV-01523-JSW

FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Pursuant to Rule 201 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, defendant Joseph L. Jackson ("Mr. Jackson") hereby requests that the Court take judicial notice of Exhibits A through E to the Declaration of Kevin P. Muck ("Muck Declaration") in connection with his motion to dismiss the Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint ("the CAC").

- 1. **Exhibit A** to the Muck Declaration consists of true and correct copies of Form 4 filings for Mr. Jackson, filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") from August 4, 2011 to June 23, 2017.
- 2. **Exhibit B** to the Muck Declaration consists of true and correct copies of relevant excerpts of WageWorks, Inc.'s Definitive Proxy Statements on Schedule 14A, filed with the SEC from on or about March 1, 2013 to on or about March 17, 2017.
- 3. **Exhibit** C to the Muck Declaration consists of true and correct copies of relevant excerpts of WageWorks' Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ending June 30, 2016, filed with the SEC on March 18, 2019.
- 4. **Exhibit D** to the Muck Declaration consists of true and correct copies of relevant excerpts of WageWorks' Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A for the quarterly period ending September 30, 2016, filed with the SEC on March 18, 2019.
- 5. **Exhibit E** to the Muck Declaration is a true and correct copy of WageWorks' September 12, 2018 filing on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 12, 2018.

Exhibits A through E are properly the subject of judicial notice because courts routinely take judicial notice of such SEC filings. See, e.g., Metzler Inv. GMBH v. Corinthian Colls., Inc., 540 F.3d 1049, 1064 n.7 (9th Cir. 2008); Rok v. Identiv, Inc., 2017 WL 35496, at \*2 n.4 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2017), aff'd, 716 F. App'x 663 (9th Cir. 2018); Fed. R. Evid. 201(b) (the court may take judicial notice of facts "not subject to reasonable dispute").

Exhibits A through E may also be considered by the Court because they are incorporated by reference in the CAC. See United States v. Ritchie, 342 F.3d 903, 908 (9th Cir. 2003) (holding that incorporation by reference is appropriate "if the plaintiff refers extensively to the document or the document forms the basis of the plaintiff's claim"); Khoja v. Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc., 899 F.3d 988, 1002 (9th Cir. 2018) (incorporation by reference doctrine prevents plaintiff from

## Case 4:18-cv-01523-JSW Document 112 Filed 07/26/19 Page 3 of 3

1	1 omitting "documents that weaken—or doon	n—their claims" in favor of only those that support	
2	them); Cement Masons & Plasterers Joint Pension Tr. v. Equinix, Inc., 2012 WL 685344, at *5		
3	n.4 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 2, 2012) ("Where a plaintiff fails to attach to the complaint the documents		
4	upon which the complaint is premised, a defendant may attach such documents in order to show		
5	5 that they do not support the plaintiff's claim	that they do not support the plaintiff's claim.").	
6	6 Dated: July 26, 2019	FENWICK & WEST LLP	
7	7	Dy /g/Kayin D. Muck	
8	8	By <u>/s/ Kevin P. Muck</u> Kevin P. Muck	
9	9	Attorneys for Defendant Joseph L. Jackson	
10	10		
11	11		
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	28		